

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/024,858	Applicant(s) LEONARD ET AL.	
	Examiner Humera N. Sheikh	Art Unit 1615	

All Participants:

(1) Humera N. Sheikh.

(2) Waye J. Dustman.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 March 2006

Time: 11 am (EST)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
of record (25 & 26)


Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 3/13/06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested and received attorney approval for conducting an Examiner's amendment making the following change to instant claim 26: To incorporate the phrase "the group consisting of" after the word "from". This amendment placed the claim in proper Markush format (selected from the group consisting of). A Notice of Allowability will soon be issued.